

Class Notes - Genesis - Appendix # 1

(Ref. Note at Gen. 1:26, 27)

SOME THOUGHTS ON EVOLUTION -- for those professing to believe the Bible.

If the Bible be TRUE -- and it IS!

- Both the **birds** and fish were created on the **fifth** day, while the beasts of the earth and creeping things were not created until the **sixth** day. Yet evolution teaches that **fish came first**, then creeping things and animals, and **last of all** the **birds**.
- If the animals all brought forth "**after their kind**" how could they produce a **man** as their off-spring?
- When God looked over the entire animal creation He did not find one animal suitable to be a help-meet for Adam. Why not? **If** Adam's mother was a monkey, why should he not marry one?
- **If** the expression "out of the dust of the ground" in Gen. 2:7 means "out of a monkey" then Gen. 3:19 tells us that later Adam became a monkey again! Also, what about Gen. 3:23? Was Adam to be an animal trainer?
- Notice that even the animals were **not** formed from one another, but from the dust of the ground (Gen. 2:19).
- Death is indispensable to evolution (to provide for the billions of "ancestors") -- but death did not produce Adam, **Adam produced death!** (Rom. 5:12).
- Even **if** Adam arrived by evolution, **Eve did not!** (Gen. 2:18, 21 - 24). To have Eve a result of evolution is to destroy the foundation for several most precious truths: the basis for the home (Matt. 19:4 - 6); the basis for the believer's relationship to Christ in this Age of Grace (Eph. 5:30 - 33); and the basis for a very necessary, but often ignored, truth -- the place of women in the church (1 Tim. 2:11 - 14).

The Pauline revelation pre-supposes creation, **not** evolution. Notice the history of man in Romans chapter one is **not** a **climb** up to godliness from beastliness, but a **descent** to beastliness from godliness. Our very salvation terminology is based on creation, **not** on evolution. "**Regeneration**" looks back to the original "generation" or "creation." If the original creation was only a long **process** of evolution, then regeneration must be a long **process** instead of a definite **act of God**. Also, Paul says if any man be in Christ he is a "new creation" -- does he mean we are a "new **evolution**"?

The same unbelieving and thankless heart which claims man started out as a creeping thing and ended up as a man, has also "exchanged the glory of the incorruptible God for an image in the form of corruptible man and of birds and four-footed animals and crawling creatures" (Rom. 1:23 -- RV). Surely "professing themselves to be wise, they became **fools**." They have animals

becoming man, and **God** becoming an **animal!**

WHAT DO THE SCIENTISTS SAY? Are all scientists evolutionists?

-- "It [evolution] is all nonsense. It cannot be proved by science that man descended from the ape or any other animal. Since the announcement of the theory [of evolution] all real scientific knowledge has proceeded in the opposite direction." -- From a lecture on "Freedom of Science" by Professor Virchow, of Berlin, Germany's greatest physiologist.

-- "All scientific facts contradict the crude ideas of so-called naturalists who state that one species can be transmitted into another in the course of generations." -- Professor F.N. Balfour, Cambridge biologist.

-- Fleischmann, professor of Zoology in Erlangen, Germany, in his early years was a supporter of the Darwinian theory [of evolution], but after careful investigation placed himself with the ranks of Anti-Darwinians in these words: "The Darwinian theory of descent has in the realm of nature not a single fact to confirm it. It is not the result of scientific research, but purely the product of imagination."

-- Dr. Austin H. Clark of the Smithsonian Institute declared that "The expeditions that have been digging all over the world for the missing link might as well stop. There is none." He thinks all animal forms, in a basic state at least, made their appearance at one and the same time, and asks pertinently how, for example, parasites that live wholly in the bodies of other animals could have existed without their hosts. They could not have struggled for existence in the open. "All life is dependent upon a food supply. From the very beginning large fish preyed upon little ones, huge animals upon the small, and even tiny parasitic worms upon the tissues of animals. Virtually every species has been able to survive, simply because each type depends for existence on the consumption of another for food. There must therefore have been a great diversity of animal forms even from the first."

-- Dr. Duane T. Gish, possessor of a Ph.D. in biochemistry and a research scientist for Upjohn Pharmaceutical Company, Kalamazoo, Michigan says: "There is tremendous evidence **against** the theory of evolution. In fact, some of the strongest evidence against the theory is in the fossil record, which the evolutionists use to support the theory. **If** evolution has occurred, then the first one and one half billion years of the fossil record are completely missing. The first fossils are found in what is known as the Cambrian period. Animals represented by these fossils are so highly developed and complex that evolutionists say these animals would have required a billion and a half years to develop. However, **not a single indisputable fossil** has ever been found prior to the Cambrian period -- in spite of an intensive search for over one hundred years.

"Fossil records reveal a sudden, great outburst of life. The Bible states that God created each kind of animal and never hints of any process with intermediate forms -- and that's exactly what we find in the fossils. The Bible states that every creature produces 'after his own kind.' This is demonstrated today."

Dr. Gish also answers the question, "Why do so many scientists today accept evolution?" He says, "Many biologists accept evolution to maintain a scientific reputation. The one who

believes in creation is ostracized scientifically." It is far easier to go along with the popular opinion than to stand for the truth. Dr. Gish is a born again Christian, but many of the above are not Christians -- just **honest scientists**.

--- William P Heath

< My Documents\Class Notes\Old Testament\Genesis-4 > on Microsoft Word

(< Amipro\ddocs\clasnot\oltestmt\genesisd.sam >)